

# Abstract for the EMPG 1999 Meeting in Mannheim

## A Method for Approximating the Shape of Latent Fields of Single Visual Points for Predicting Geometric Optical Illusions.

Günter Lehmann

*University of Wuppertal*  
*Department of Psychology*  
*Gaußstr. 20, 42097 Wuppertal, Germany*  
Lehmann@uni-wuppertal.de

The length illusions within geometric figures, e. g. the Müller-Lyer figure, can be predicted to a certain degree by the additive superposition of the illusions caused by interactions among pairs of lines. We therefore focussed our investigations on basic experiments, where the subject had to reproduce a line  $S$  out of two random lines  $(S, G)$ .  $G$  had a constant length of 10 cm. The averaged length illusions of the reproductions of  $S$  of about 1300 stimuli  $(S, G)$  could be predicted with correlations of .60 – .80 by a two-parameter field model. It postulates an influence of  $G$  on  $S$  by a potential field around  $G$  resulting from the superposition of concentric fields emitted by the visual points on  $G$ . In the model the strength of the field in a point  $s$  on  $S$  emitted by a point  $g$  on  $G$  is defined by a two-parameter function  $f = f(d(s, g))$  depending only on the distance  $d(s, g)$ . The theoretical length illusion  $\theta(S, G)$  of  $S$  in the field of  $G$  then results from a double line integral of the function  $f$  over  $G$  and  $S$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(S, G) &= \int_S \int_G f(d(s, g)) dg ds \\ &= \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \int_{\xi_0}^{\xi_1} f \left( \sqrt{(x - \xi)^2 + (ax + b - \alpha \xi - \beta)^2} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \sqrt{1 + \alpha^2} \sqrt{1 + a^2} d\xi dx\end{aligned}$$

with  $S: ax + b$  and  $G: \alpha \xi + \beta$ . The two integrals postulate an infinitesimal additivity holding as well for the concentric fields of the points  $g$  on  $G$  as for the assumed local illusions in  $S$ . On the other side, by testing about 12 elementary two-parameter distance functions  $f$ , we found that for 4-5 different functions the model fitted the data almost equally well. Apparently, the data fulfilled the two additivity conditions to such a high degree, that the choice of the distance function  $f$  turned out to be of secondary importance. The problem was, how to determine the "true" distance function  $f$  from the data. The new result is, that we found a method which allows to estimate the shape of the latent function  $f$  from our experimental data. We approximate the two integrals of  $\theta(S, G)$  by a weighted linear function  $w = w(d(s, g))$  of the distances  $d(s, g)$  of all pairs of points  $(s, g)$  within our stimuli  $(S, G)$ . The weight function  $w$  obtained from the data approximates the shape of the latent function  $f(d(s, g))$ . The shape turned out to have the form of a damped oscillation. Moreover, we found very similar oscillatory functions  $f$  for three geometrically different subclasses of our stimuli. The oscillatory functions probably can be reduced to a neurological background. The next problem is to find a mathematical model predicting the obtained damped oscillation.